

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 069 769

TM 002 224

TITLE Asparagus Sorter (agric.; can. & preserv.; whole tr.)
529.687 (8-04.10)--Technical Report on Development of
USES Aptitude Test Battery.

INSTITUTION Manpower Administration (DOL), Washington, D.C. U.S.
Training and Employment Service.

REPORT NO USES-TR-S-374

PUB DATE Mar 66

NOTE 10p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS Agribusiness; Agricultural Laborers; *Aptitude Tests;
*Cutting Scores; Evaluation Criteria; Job Applicants;
*Job Skills; Norms; Occupational Guidance; *Personnel
Evaluation; Test Reliability; Test Validity

IDENTIFIERS Asparagus Sorter; GATB; *General Aptitude Test
Battery

ABSTRACT

The United States Training and Employment Service General Aptitude Test Battery (GATB), first published in 1947, has been included in a continuing program of research to validate the tests against success in many different occupations. The GATB consists of 12 tests which measure nine aptitudes: General Learning Ability; Verbal Aptitude; Numerical Aptitude; Spatial Aptitude; Form Perception; Clerical Perception; Motor Coordination; Finger Dexterity; and Manual Dexterity. The aptitude scores are standard scores with 100 as the average for the general working population, and a standard deviation of 20. Occupational norms are established in terms of minimum qualifying scores for each of the significant aptitude measures which, when combined, predict job performance. Cutting scores are set only for those aptitudes which aid in predicting the performance of the job duties of the experimental sample. The GATB norms described are appropriate only for jobs with content similar to that shown in the job description presented in this report. A description of the validation sample is included.

(AG)

B-654
S-374

United States Employment Service Technical Report

March 1966

ED 069769

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

TM 002 224

Development of USES Aptitude Test Battery
for

Asparagus Sorter

(agric., can. & preserv., whole tr.) 529.687

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
W. Willard Wirtz, Secretary
MANPOWER ADMINISTRATION
BUREAU OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY
Washington, D.C. 20210

ED 069769

Technical Report on Development of USES Aptitude Test Battery
For

Asparagus Sorter (agric.; can. & preserv.; whole. tr.) 529.687
(8-04.10)

B-654 or S-374

U. S. Employment Service
in Cooperation with
Washington State Employment Service
March 1966

DEVELOPMENT OF USES APTITUDE TEST BATTERY

For

Asparagus Sorter (agric.; can. & preserv.; whole. tr.) 529.687
(8-04.10)

B-654 or S-374

This report describes research undertaken for the purpose of developing General Aptitude Test Battery (GATB) norms for the occupation of Asparagus Sorter (agric.; can. & preserv.; whole. tr.) 529.687 (2nd Edition DOT code 8-04.10). The following norms were established:

GATB Aptitudes	Minimum Acceptable GATB, B-1002 Scores
K - Motor Coordination	95
M - Manual Dexterity	95

RESEARCH SUMMARY

Sample:

136 applicants for jobs at the Birds Eye Division of General Foods Corporation in Walla Walla, Washington.

Criterion:

Supervisory ratings

Design:

Concurrent (test and criterion data were collected at approximately the same time).

Minimum aptitude requirements were determined on the basis of a job analysis and statistical analyses of aptitude mean scores, standard deviations, and selective efficiencies.

Concurrent Validity:

Phi Coefficient = .26 (P/2 <.005)

Effectiveness of Norms:

Only 67% of the non-test-selected workers used for this study were good workers; if the workers had been test-selected with the above norms, 78% would have been good workers. 33% of the non-test-selected workers used for this study were poor workers; if the workers had been test-selected with the above norms, only 22% would have been poor workers. The effectiveness of the norms is shown graphically in Table 1:

TABLE 1

Effectiveness of Norms

	Without Tests	With Tests
Good Workers	67%	78%
Poor Workers	33%	22%

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION

Size:

N = 136

Occupational Status:

Job applicants

Work Setting:

Applicants who were subsequently employed by Birds Eye Division of General Foods Corp. in Walla Walla, Washington.

Employer Selection Requirements:

Education: No requirement

Previous Experience: No requirement. The majority of the applicants had previous experience with either Birds Eye or another company.

Tests: No tests used

Other: Personal interview to determine desire and willingness to work in a food processing plant on a permanent basis.

Principal Activities:

The job duties for each worker are comparable to those shown in the job description in the Appendix.

Minimum Experience:

All applicants had at least one month's total experience at the time of rating.

TABLE 2

Means, Standard Deviations (SD), Ranges, and Pearson Product-Moment Correlations with the Criterion (r) for Age, Education, and Experience

	Mean	SD	Range	r
Age (years) (N=136)	35.0	12.2	17-63	.286**
Education (years) (N=136)	10.5	2.3	3-16	-.079
Experience (months) (N=107)*	44.1	42.9	1-180	-.030

** Significant at the .01 level

EXPERIMENTAL TEST BATTERY

All 12 tests of the GATB, B-1002A were administered during 1964 before the start of the season for most of the sample (applicants) and shortly after the start of the season for a few permanent employees of the company.

CRITERION

The criterion data consisted of supervisory ratings of job proficiency.

Rating Scale:

Rank order ratings were made by first-and second-line supervisors at the end of the 1964 season on asparagus. The final scale consisted of the supervisors' ratings. The scores were averaged for an employee rated by more than one supervisor.

Reliability:

Intercorrelations were obtained among the independent ratings made by first-line and second-line supervisors. (Some of these correlations are based on an extremely small number of cases.) The entire crew of asparagus sorters was rated to reduce the possibility that the tested sample was not randomly distributed in the crew. Therefore, the intercorrelations are based on a total sample of 292 rather than an N of 136. These intercorrelations ranged from .21 to .88 for all day shift supervisors and from .38 to 1.00 for night shift supervisors. The final scale consisted of the average of the supervisory ratings received (1-6). Seventy-six were rated by more than one supervisor.

* The statistics on experience were calculated on the 107 applicants who had had experience at the time of testing.

Criterion Score Distribution: Possible range is 3-91

	N	M	SD	Range
Total Rated Employees	292	48.5	17.8	3-91
Tested Employees in Sample	136	48.4	17.9	8-91

Criterion Dichotomy:

The criterion distribution was dichotomized into low and high groups by placing 33% of the sample in the low group to correspond with the percentage of workers considered unsatisfactory or marginal. Workers in the high criterion group were designated as "good workers" and those in the low group as "poor workers." The criterion critical score is 40.

APTITUDES CONSIDERED FOR INCLUSION IN THE NORMS

Aptitudes were selected for tryout in the norms on the basis of a qualitative analysis of job duties involved and a statistical analysis of test and criterion data. Aptitudes P, K, F, and M were considered for inclusion in the test norms on the basis of the qualitative and statistical analyses. Tables 3, 4, and 5 show the results of the qualitative and statistical analyses.

TABLE 3

Qualitative Analysis

(Based on the job analysis, the aptitudes indicated appear to be important to the work performed)

Aptitude	Rationale
P - Form Perception	Aligning of asparagus butts to guide rail of moving belt and distinguishing between standard and sub-standard spears.
K - Motor Coordination	Positioning of spears on moving belt using both hands.
F - Finger Dexterity	Picking up and aligning spears.
M - Manual Dexterity	Placing spears on moving belts, in trays, or in water trough.

TABLE 4

Means, Standard Deviations (SD), Ranges, and Pearson Product-Moment Correlations with the Criterion (r) for the Aptitudes of the GATB; N = 133

	Mean	SD	Range	r
G - General Learning Ability	95.7	18.6	46-135	.110
V - Verbal Aptitude	98.8	18.4	61-141	.056
N - Numerical Aptitude	90.9	19.3	34-135	.115
S - Spatial Aptitude	95.8	19.9	55-163	.141
P - Form Perception	97.3	19.8	18-134	.191*
Q - Clerical Perception	99.0	16.6	62-141	.066
K - Motor Coordination#	101.2	17.5	56-142	.231**
F - Finger Dexterity#	97.1	20.9	53-145	.170*
M - Manual Dexterity#	107.5	20.1	53-159	.180*

*Significant at the .05 level

**Significant at the .01 level

#N = 136 for aptitudes K, F, and M because three illiterates were tested on only these three aptitudes.

TABLE 5

Summary of Qualitative and Quantitative Data

Type of Evidence	Aptitudes									
	G	V	N	S	P	Q	K	F	M	
Job Analysis Data										
<u>Important</u>					X		X	X	X	
Irrelevant										
Relatively High Mean		X				X	X		X	
Relatively Low Standard Dev.										
Significant Correlation with Criterion					X		X	X	X	
Aptitudes to be Considered for Trial Norms					P		K	F	M	

DERIVATION AND VALIDITY OF NORMS

Final norms were derived on the basis of a comparison of the degree to which trial norms consisting of various combinations of aptitudes P, K, F, and M at trial cutting scores were able to differentiate between the 67% of the sample considered good workers and 33% of the sample considered poor workers. Trial cutting scores at five point intervals approximately one standard deviation below the mean are tried because this will eliminate about one third of the sample with three-aptitude norms. For two-aptitude trial norms, minimum cutting scores of slightly more than one standard deviation below the mean will eliminate about 1/3 of the sample; for four-aptitude trial norms, cutting scores of slightly less than one standard deviation below the mean will eliminate about 1/3 of the sample. The Phi Coefficient was used as a basis for comparing trial norms. Norms of K-95 and M-95 provided the highest degree of differentiation for the occupation of Asparagus Sorter (agric.; can. & preserv.; whole. tr.) 529,687. The validity of these norms is shown in Table 6 and is indicated by a Phi Coefficient of .26 (statistically significant at the .005 level).

TABLE 6

Concurrent Validity of Test Norms, K-95, and M-95

	Nonqualifying Test Scores	Qualifying Test Scores	Total
Good Workers	31	61	92
Poor Workers	27	17	44
Total	58	78	136

Phi Coefficient (ϕ) = .26
Significance Level = $P/2 < .005$

Chi Square (χ^2) = 9.38

DETERMINATION OF OCCUPATIONAL APTITUDE PATTERN

The data for this study met the requirements for incorporating the occupation studied into OAP-34 which is shown in Section II of the Guide to the Use of the General Aptitude Test Battery.

A-P-P-E-N-D-I-X

(See next page)

S-374

March 1966

FACT SHEET

Job Title: Asparagus Sorter (agric.; can & preserv.; whole tr.) 529.687
(8-04.10)

Job Summary: Works as a member of a crew standing alongside a moving conveyor to align asparagus spears preparatory to packing, using both hands coordinately, and removes substandard spears for re-processing.

Work Performed: Picks up spears coordinately with hands and aligns spears in piles with butt ends toward outside edge of moving belt.

Places spears with white butts in trays for retrimming and substandard spears on return belt or in water trough for further processing.

(This sheet is printed in duplicate. One copy should remain as part of the Appendix in order to complete the technical report. The other copy can be removed by employment service personnel who wish to set up separate fact sheet files.)

• U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1964 O-219-975

S-374

March 1966

FACT SHEET

Job Title: Asparagus Sorter (agric.; can & preserv.; whole tr.) 529.687
(8-04.10)

Job Summary: Works as a member of a crew standing alongside a moving conveyor to align asparagus spears preparatory to packing, using both hands coordinately, and removes substandard spears for re-processing.

Work Performed: Picks up spears coordinately with hands and aligns spears in piles with butt ends toward outside edge of moving belt.

Places spears with white butts in trays for retrimming and substandard spears on return belt or in water trough for further processing.

(This sheet is printed in duplicate. One copy should remain as part of the Appendix in order to complete the technical report. The other copy can be removed by employment service personnel who wish to set up separate fact sheet files.)

* U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1964 O-219-979